



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/695,497	10/29/2003	Thomas J. Gieseke	82998	8173		
7590 08/02/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Naval Undersea			RICHARDSON, JOHN A			
Division, Newp 1176 Howell St			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Newport, RI (3641				
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
ř		10/695,497	·	GIESEKE, THOMAS J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		John Richa		3641				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the c	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	daress			
Period fo		I V IO CET TO	EYDIDE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event eply within the statuto d will apply and will the cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2003						
2a)∏	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.		tua ma ant					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applica	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		_				
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CER 1 121(d)			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is to	se Action or form	PTO-152.			
11)∟	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	nte the attached Onto	c / totion of form				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
	 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have bee	n received in Applica	ation No	-l Otomo			
ļ	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ived in this Natior	iai Stage			
	application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).	ived				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	ust of the certi	mea copies not recei	iveu.				
Attachm	ent(c)							
Attachmo	enu(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413)				
2) No	PTO-152)							
3) 🛛 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB per No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-29-2003</u> .	3/08)	5) Notice of Information Other:	ar, aren personal (,			
	d Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Non Final Rejection

1). The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2). The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3). Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (U.S.). The reference discloses fluid flow control devices providing effective turbulence / vortex generation of the boundary layer of a flow surface (Column 1, lines 45+) comprising boundary layer penetrators / vortex generators (items 26) and microelectromechanical system (MEMS) for detecting and controlling fluid flow operation reading on the claimed limitations, sensor measuring means in the form of pressure sensors (items 20), fluid flow effect means (items 18), and a controller means for displacements of the said

'Application/Control Number: 10/695,497

Art Unit: 3641

Page 3

boundary layer positions in the form of the said MEMS technology, relating to claim 2-4,6, the reference discloses an air fluid ejecting means (item 20) and the said sensors (items 20) and fluid flow effect means (items 18), relating to claim 5, the reference discloses the said boundary layer penetrators / vortex generators positioned on a delta shaped wing (item 30) in a manner configured flush with the said wing structure as shown in Figures 3, 4.

4). Claims 7, 8, 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohen (U.S. 3,807,274).

The reference discloses an underwater system for launching objects from submersibles that reads on the cited claim limitations comprising an underwater structure (item H) with a launch tube coupled to the said structure (item T), a breach door / muzzle door (item M) configured on the external surface of the said underwater structure providing vortex generators fluid flow streamlining / turbulence control as discussed in Column 1, lines 35-50, system control for positioning the said muzzle door effecting the said turbulence layer (item 50), and pressure sensors (items 120) connected to the underwater vehicle computer system to inform on the conditions of fluid flow at the muzzle door on the outside of the said underwater structure as discussed in Column 15, lines 2-55, relating to claim 8, the reference discloses the said item M ejecting fluid flow as shown in Figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,497

Art Unit: 3641

Page 4

5). Claims 7, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirmalis et al (U.S. 5,964,175) in view of Cohen (U.S. 3,807,274).

The primary reference discloses an underwater launching system that reads on the cited claims except for citing specific vortex shedding means. The secondary reference discloses that it is well known in the fluid flow control art to provide vortex shedding generators. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the Cohen vortex generators (items 26) to the surface of the Sirmulis et al vessel (item 10) for the purposes of making the vessel acoustically quiet (Column 1, lines 47-54) and recognizing that primary reference discusses the effects of vortex shedding (Column 2, lines 64+).

6). Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

'Application/Control Number: 10/695,497

Art Unit: 3641

Page 5

7). The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

8). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John Richardson whose telephone number is (703) 305

0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7.00 AM to

4.30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306 4198. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305 7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308

1113.

John Richardson, PE,

July 28 2004.

364/ 364/

PRIMARY EXAMINER